

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
POR BOY 1850

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

\_

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,620	12/23/2004	Dirk Rottger	262416US0XPCT	7973
22850 7590 10/05/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			BULLOCK, IN SUK C	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	
		•	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

•	Application No.	Applicant(a)			
V	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer	10/517,620	DIRK ROTTGER, ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	In Suk Bullock	1764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard property of the maximum statutory period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUNION THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reprise the source of	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2.	<u> 3 December 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	accepted or b)⊡ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date  nformal Patent Application			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date: 12/23/04; 7/12/05; 3/13/06; 5/8/07; & 8/15/207.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,620

Art Unit: 1764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claims 3-7 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- (1) in claim 3 the phrase "the formula (II)" lacks antecedent basis; the word "is" should be inserted between "nucleophile" and "of " to make the claim a complete sentence;
- (2) in claim 4 the phrase "of the formula (IIa) or (IIb)" lacks antecedent basis and "formula" should be corrected to "formulae"; the claim is not a complete sentence;
  - (3) claim 5 should be rewritten in Markush language;
  - (4) in claim 6 the phrase "the nucleophile (II)" lacks antecedent basis; and
  - (5) in claim 7, the phrase "the ratio" lacks antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite because it is not clear what is meant by "if appropriate".

It is unclear if claim 6 should depend from claim 1 since there is no "nucleophile (II) recited in the claim or if it should depend from claim 3 since the formula is recited in

Application/Control Number: 10/517,620

Art Unit: 1764

the claim. Also, the claim should be rewritten to clearly define that a" nucleophile or an inert organic solvent or a mixture thereof functions as a solvent".

### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-7 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-1-11 and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 7,026,523 (hereinafter "Patent '523") in view of WO 92/10450 (hereinafter "WO '450"). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are each directed to a process for telomerization of acyclic olefins comprising reacting acyclic olefins having at least two conjugated double bond

Application/Control Number: 10/517,620

Art Unit: 1764

with at least one nucleophile in the presence of a transition metal-carbene complex catalyst.

The difference between Patent '523 and the present application is that the patent is directed to a palladium-carbene complex catalyst whereas the present application calls for a broader metal-carbene complex catalyst, e.g., one or more metals of groups 8 to 10 of the Periodic Table of the Elements.

WO '450 discloses a process for producing 1-octene comprising telomerization of 1,3-butadiene in the presence of Group VIII transition metals and their complexes (see Abstract and page 6, line 21 to page 9, line 9).

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the broader Group VIII transition metals because these Group VIII transition metals and their complexes are known to be effective telomerization catalyst as shown by WO '450 (see page 6, lines 21-30).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to In Suk Bullock whose telephone number is 571-272-5954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/517,620

Art Unit: 1764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

J. Sullock
1.B.

GLENN A. CALDAROLA PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100

t(1700